

# **Yasir Qadhi and Muḥammad Ḥijāb: Ikhwāniyyah in Theory and Practice**



## **Part 2: Yasir Qadhi’s Distortion of History, Shielding Contemporary Jahmites and Weakening Sunni Theology—4**

**Abu ‘Iyaad**

Manhaj.Com

February 2014



الحمد لله والصلاة والسلام على رسول الله

Refer to **Part 2-1** for some brief background information regarding Qadhi and Ḥijab and this series from February 2014 in response to one of Qadhī’s horrendous lectures.

<http://www.manhaj.com/manhaj/?gwppp>

### Part 11—4 February 2014

Yasir Qadhi is in the process of doctoring history and revising the methodology of Ahl al-Sunnah for higher-level agendas and from his recent activities is to mix truth with falsehood in

interpreting the hadith of splitting (73 sects). From the claims that form part of this revisionism is that the Jahmiyyah were no more than 50 men and and that they expired and no longer exist (see video 21:10 onwards) where he says, “*Who are the Jahmiyyah? They no longer exist*”. Either Yasir Qadhi is the most-ignorant of the ignorant or he is a great conniving liar which is closer to the truth due to many evidences.



**Imām ‘Abd al-‘Azīz bin Bāz** was asked a question about studying with a person who says: “If you have not seen Allāh, then how do you know his direction?”

He replied: “Do not study with him, my advice is that you do not study with this hardcore Jahmite, this Jahmite, do not study with him. Allāh is above the heaven, in ‘uluww, above the Throne. The one who rejects this is a kāfir, we ask Allāh for safety.” Sharḥ Bulūgh al-Mar’ām (Kitāb al-Buyū’) 6th cassette (recording with me).

**Who do you trust and believe?** A righteous Imām from the Imāms of Ahl al-Sunnah wal-Jamā‘ah (Ibn Bāz) who, following the Righteous Salaf, declares the one who denies Allāh’s ‘uluww to be “a hardcore Jahmite”—on that count alone—and warns from studying with him or a conceited and arrogant pseudo-intellectual babbler, put to trial with what he thinks he possesses of intellect and claims the Jahmites are long gone, dead, buried and in the annals of history?

Dear Sunni Muslim who venerates the Sharī‘ah and venerates the Sunni ‘aqīdah and desires safety! Do not be deceived by Yasir Qadhi and his likes who are treacherously paraded as “shuyūkh” to innocent Muslims who do not know any better. These same people show love, affection, cooperation with the heads of the Jahmites and at the same time they vilify and attack the Salafīs and their Scholars.

## Part 12—5 February 2014

**Shaykh al-Islām Ibn Taymiyyah** said in al-Radd ‘alā al-Bakrī (2/494):

“For this reason I used to say to the Jahmites from the Hulūliyyah (believers in the doctrine of Divine indwelling) and the Nufāt (Negators) who deny that Allāh, the Exalted, is above the Throne, when their tribulation befell, that if I was to agree with you (in this doctrine) I would be a disbeliever because I know your statement is one of disbelief. But you do not disbelieve in my estimation because you are ignorant. And this (statement of mine) was addressed to their scholars, their judges, shaykhs and their leaders...” End of quote.

**1st.** These Jahmite Negators were certainly still around in the time of Ibn Taymiyyah in the 8th century and were not dead and bygone!

**2nd.** They comprised scholars, judges and rulers who were imposing this belief on the common-folk.

**3rd.** Negating the ‘uluww of Allāh is a hallmark doctrine rendering a person to be from the Jahmites.

**4th.** Those holding this doctrine today are widespread in North Africa, Shaam, Irāq, Yemen and the Far East. The Shī‘ah are Mu‘tazilah, thus, there are Jahmites wherever there are Shī‘ah (Iran, Yemen, Lebanon, Pakistan).

**5th.** In the West, these Jahmites have schools, institutions, leaders, teachers. They engage in da‘wah and active propaganda against Ahl al-Sunnah.

Yasir Qadhi befriends some of these people and praises them. They teach and invite to Jahmite doctrines of kufr (disbelief) even though we do not make takfir them due to their lack of understanding in the matter.

Yasir Qadhi wants you to believe all of this is a **figment of the imagination and Jahmites no longer exist**. This academic fraud Yasir Qadhī is trying to pull over the eyes of the Ummah is from the greatest of lies and is an affront to the ‘aqīdah of Muḥammad (صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ) and the the ‘aqīdah of the Saḥābah (رَضِيَ اللهُ عَنْهُمْ).

### Part 13—5 February 2014

In his book, “Maqālāt al-Jahm bin Ṣafwān” Yasir Qadhī explains the reason for choosing this topic (as his Master’s thesis) and his fifth reason (see pp. 9-10) is stated as follows:

٤ - لا توجد طائفة أوفرقه - غير الجهمية الخالصة الذين لا وجود لهم في زماننا - تعظم أوتوالي هذا المبتدع ، بل كثير من الفرق - وبالذات الفرق الكلامية - يتبرؤون منه ومن عقائد الجهمية ، ومع ذلك يتفقون معه في كثير من أصوله ومقالاته . فإثبات هذه الاتفاقات ، وبيان تأثير جهم على المعتزلة والزيدية والإباضية والرافضة والأشاعرة والماتريدية فضيحة لهم ، وبيان تلبسهم في التبرؤ من الجهم بن صفوان .

“There is not to be found a faction or sect— other than the pure Jahmites who no longer exist in our time— that venerates or allies with this innovator.

Rather, many of the sects, and specifically the kalām sects, they free themselves from him and from the Jahmite beliefs. However, they agree with him on many of his foundations and his statements. So establishing these agreements, and explaining the effect of Jahm upon the Mu‘tazilah, Zaydiyyah, Ibādiyyah, Rāfiḍhah, Ashā‘irah, Māturīdiyyah is an exposition of them and an explanation of their deception in freeing themselves from al-Jahm bin Ṣafwan.” End of quote.

**1st.** Yasir Qadhi stated this around 9-10 years ago<sup>1</sup> before his current disastrous orientation.

**2nd.** We established previously from Ibn Taymiyyah that the term “Jahmiyyah” is an umbrella term into which many factions enter, due to them having something of the uṣūl of al-Jahm bin Ṣafwān.

**3rd.** This indicates that 9-10 years ago Yasir Qadhi accepted the existence of “Jahmites” in our era, in significant numbers and factions (of sects).

**4th.** The above is proof that Yasir Qadhi is certainly not ignorant. Rather, he is a mutajāhil kadhdhāb (pretender, liar) on account of the strength of evidence presented thus far.

**5th.** What makes a man turn you may ask? Your answer is in the ḥadīth of Hudhayfah bin al-Yamān (رضي الله عنه) regarding the hearts and fitan and also the hadīth of ‘Amr bin al-‘Āṣ (رضي الله عنه): “The hearts of the servants are

---

<sup>1</sup> Meaning, around 2004, 2005.

in between two of the fingers of al-Raḥman, He turns them wherever he wishes.”

A man is beguiled by desires and aspirations, rejecting what he once knew and acting upon other than what it requires from him.

## **Part 14—5 February 2014**

**Continued from Part 13.** A person becomes identified as a Jahmite (or a Kharijite or Qadarite or Shi’ite) on account of the uṣūl that he shares with the founder(s) of that sect, in whole or part. Thus, just because the original founders (al-Ja’d and al-Jahm) perished, or those Jahmites of the 2nd century hijrah perished, whether they numbered 50, or 100, or 1000, all of that is irrelevant. What is important is that there exist people, factions, sects, organizations and institutions that are upon these foundations. If we just stuck to one doctrine, the issue of al-‘uluww for Allāh’s essence, we would not need to go any further to prove the world is full of Jahmites (whilst noting that the common-folk who don’t know any better receive a greater excuse from us and their error is overlooked whilst they are taught).

It is the actions of Yasir Qadhi that prove where he is going with all of this. He praises the heads of the Jahmiyyah in the West, sits and lectures with some of them. He openly announces his love for them while vilifying those who show walā’ and barā’ for the ‘aqidah

of Muḥammad (صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ) and that of the Ṣahābah (رَضِيَ اللهُ عَنْهُمْ).

It is pointless writing a Master’s thesis on al-Jahm bin Ṣafwan and then praising the heads and leaders in the West who are upon the uṣūl of al-Jahm, cooperating with them and shielding them, when you know full well—by the standards of the Imāms of Salaf in the 2nd, 3rd and 4th centuries, and Ibn Taymiyyah, Ibn al-Qayyim and the Scholars of Ahl al-Sunnah to this day—that they are “Jahmites” and callers to that which the Salaf considered blatant kufr due to its opposition to fiṭrah, dharūrah, naql and ‘aql.